

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,955	07/28/2003	Sanjiv Nanda	030280	6170
23696 OLIAL COMM	7590 02/06/2008 NCORPORATED		EXAMINER	
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED 5775 MOREHOUSE DR.			CAI, WAYNE HUU	
ṢAN DIEGO, CA 92121			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/628,955	NANDA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Wayne Cai	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 November 2007</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen		_					
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date If Informal Patent Application				

10/628,955 Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 21, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant asserts at the second full paragraph of page 11 that the cited reference, specifically Berruto describes situations wherein the rate and protection requirements of different streams of information are determined. These streams of information are separated in different channels and <u>are not in packets</u>. Because this information is not packetized, this information is not and could not be assigned a transmission deadline or individually assigned transmission rates in a queue.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The invention of Berruto relates to radio communication system and more particularly it concerns a method of controlling transmission on the same channel of variable-rate information streams in these systems, specifically the Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS). It is importantly to note that the UMTS system is one of the 3rd generation telecommunications system in which the transmission is based on packet-switching.

Furthermore, paragraph 0043 of Berruto also teaches or suggests that the invention can be applied for services other than speech transmission, such as variable-rate data transmission in which the two streams would be represented by the data and by the signaling, or systems employing access techniques different from CDMA but

10/628,955

Art Unit: 2617

always in connection with variable-rate transmissions, e.g., PRMA (Packet Reservation Multiple Access) or ATM (Asynchronous Transfer Mode) techniques.

Based on this passage mentioned above, it is clear to one skilled in the art that the invention of Berruto not only related to speech transmission, but also data transmission, where this data transmission requires packet switching system. Hence, those streams of information as described in Berruto are considered to be packets of data. In turn, those packets of data are assigned a transmission deadline or individually assigned transmission rates in a queue as recited within claims. Thus, previous rejections were proper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berruto (EP 0 627 827) in view of Holden (US 6,134,218) Note: Applicant's cited references.

Regarding claims 1 and 16, Berruto discloses in a communication system, an apparatus for determining a data rate for reverse link communication from a mobile station to a base station comprising:

10/628,955 Art Unit: 2617

means for determining packets of data for transmission from the mobile station for a number of communication services (paragraph 0011, paragraphs 0017-0018);

means for determining a transmission deadline of each of said packets of data (paragraphs 0023 and 0032);

means for determining a data rate for transmission of the packets of data based on the arrangement of said packets of data in said queue allowing for meeting the transmission deadline for each of said packets of data (paragraphs 0012, 0020, 0023 and 0032).

Berruto, however, does not expressly disclose means for arranging the packets of data in a queue for transmission in accordance with said determined transmission deadline.

Holden discloses many dimensional congestion detection system and method.

Holden also discloses means for arranging the packets of data in a queue for transmission in accordance with said determined transmission deadline (col. 1, lines 59-67, col. 2, lines 39-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Berruto and Holden.

The motivation/suggestion for doing so would have been to allow effective use of the system resources while able to guarantee service to certain traffic classes.

With further regard to claims 9 and 24, Berruto also discloses determining a number of data rates for transmission of the packets of data based on the number of possible queue arrangements (paragraph 0038).

10/628,955

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claims 2 and 17, Berruto and Holden disclose all limitations recited within claims as described above. Berruto also discloses means for communicating said data rate from said mobile station to said base station (paragraph 0019).

Regarding claims 3 and 18, Berruto and Holden disclose all limitations recited within claims as described above. Holden also discloses means for determining duration for use of said determined data rate for transmissions of the packets of data based on the arrangement of said packets of data in said gueue (col. 1, lines 59-67).

Regarding claims 4 and 19, Berruto and Holden disclose all limitations recited within claims as described above. Berruto also discloses means for communicating said determined duration from said mobile station to said base station (paragraph 0012).

Regarding claims 5 and 20, Berruto and Holden disclose all limitations recited within claims as described above. Berruto also discloses further comprising: means for determining whether available resources allows for allocation at said base station for transmission from said mobile station at said data rate (paragraph 0012).

Regarding claims 6 and 21, Berruto and Holden disclose all limitations recited within claims as described above. Berruto also discloses means for indicating a congestion level alert to said mobile station when said determining available resources disallow for allocation at said base station for transmission from said mobile station at said data rate (paragraphs 0026 and 0038).

Regarding claims 7 and 22, Berruto and Holden disclose all limitations recited within claims as described above. Holden also discloses means for dropping at least a

10/628,955 Art Unit: 2617

packet of data of said packets of data in said queue to determine a new queue of packets of data (col. 9, lines 29-34); means for determining a new data rate for transmission of said new queue of packets of data, wherein said new data rate is lower than said data rate (col. 9, lines 45-55).

Regarding claims 8 and 23, Berruto and Holden disclose all limitations recited within claims as described above. Holden also discloses means for determining a new duration for use of said determined new data rate for transmissions of the packets of data based on the arrangement of said packets of data in said new queue (col. 9, lines 55 - col. 10, line 9).

Regarding claims 10 and 25, Berruto and Holden disclose all limitations recited within claims as described above. Berruto also discloses wherein said number of determined data rates include a required data rate (paragraph 0010), and Holden also discloses at least one congestion level data rate (col. 9, lines 4-28).

Regarding claims 11 and 26, Berruto and Holden disclose all limitations recited within claims as described above. Berruto also discloses communicating said number of data rates from said mobile station to said base station (paragraphs 0010 and 0019).

Regarding claims 12 and 27, Berruto and Holden disclose all limitations recited within claims as described above. Holden also discloses determining duration for use of each of said determined number of data rates for transmissions of the packets of data based on the arrangement of said packets of data in said queue (col. 1, lines 59-67).

10/628,955

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claims 13 and 28, Berruto and Holden disclose all limitations recited within claims as described above. Berruto also discloses communicating said determined duration from said mobile station to said base station (paragraph 0012).

Regarding claims 14 and 29, Berruto and Holden disclose all limitations recited within claims as described above. Berruto also discloses determining whether available resources allows for allocation at said base station for transmission from said mobile station at least one of said number of data rates (paragraphs 0012 and 0026).

Regarding claims 15 and 30, Berruto and Holden disclose all limitations recited within claims as described above. Berruto also discloses indicating to said mobile station when said determining available resources allows for allocation at said base station for transmission from said mobile station at least at one of said data rates (paragraphs 0038-0040).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

10/628,955

Art Unit: 2617

Page 8

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Wayne Cai whose telephone number is (571) 272-7798.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7503. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wayne éai

Art Unit 2617

melleur

DUC M. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM!

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600